

# Graph Algorithms: Dynamic Programming

Pedro Barahona DI/FCT/UNL Métodos Computacionais 1st Semestre 2017/2018



## Dynamic Programming: Algorithms for Graphs

- Most graph properties address optimisation goals, namely
	- a. Shortest paths
	- b. Minimum Spanning Trees
	- c. Minimum Hamiltonian tours (Traveling Salesman)
	- d. Minimum number of colours
- Some of these properties (e.g. **a** and **b**, but not **c** nor **d**), can be computed by polynomial algorithms.
- In most cases, algorithms to compute the optima may follow a methodology, **dynamic programming**, based on Mathematical Induction on the Integers:
	- Once an optimal solution is obtained with **n** nodes, extend it to **n+1** nodes.
- We will see two examples of this, in the following algorithms
	- Minimum Spanning Tree **Prim's Algorithm**
	- Shortest Paths **Floyd-Warshall's Algorithm**



- A **spanning tree** is a subset of a connected graph that has the topology of a tree and covers all nodes of the graph.
- It has many applications, namely to provide services to a number of sites (the nodes) that can be interconnected in several ways (by a graph), but using the a minimal number of connections that allow all sites to be reached, i.e. a single path connecting any two nodes.
- Among these spanning trees one is usually interested in **minimum spanning trees** (MST) that minimise the sum of the costs of the arcs selected for the tree.
- There are many polynomial algorithms that may be used to compute these MSTs, the most common ones are the Kruskal's and the Prim's algorithms.
- Given the similarities between the latter and the algorithm to check connectedness of a graph, we will address now the **Prim's Algorithm**.



- The Prim's algorithm is an example of **Dynamic Programming** that extends a MST with **n** nodes to **n+1** nodes, with an eager selection of the new node (i.e. once the node is selected, the selection **is not backtracked** for alternatives).
- The algorithm can be understood as a process of increasing the size of a current MST, starting with 1 node and ending with all the nodes, and specified as follows:
	- Maintain two sets of nodes: **In** and **Out**, where **In** is the set of nodes already included in a current **MST** and **Out** are those not yet included.
		- 1. Select arbitrarily a node from the tree to initialise the **In** set, and put the others in the **Out** set;
		- 2. While there are nodes in the **Out** set,
			- i. Find which node from the **Out** set has an arc of least cost to one connecting it to one of the nodes of the **In** set;
			- ii. Transfer the node from the **Out** set to the **In** set and include the least cost arc in the current **MST**.





- Start with an arbitrary node in the **In**  set
- Start with the **Out** set with all the other nodes
- Initialise the **MST** to empty

$$
In = [e]
$$
  
Out = [a,b,c,d,f,g]  
MST = {}





• Chose that with minimum cost



In = [e]  
Out = 
$$
[a, b, c, d, f, g]
$$
  
MST = {}





- Move the out node of the arc from the **Out** to the **In** set.
- Include the arc in the **MST**

**In = [e,b] Out = [a,c,d,e,f,g] MST = {<b,e>}**





- Check all arcs between nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets
- Chose that with minimum cost

In = [b,e]  
Out = 
$$
[a, c, d, f, g]
$$
  
MST =  $\{\langle b, e \rangle\}$ 





- Move the out node of the arc from the **Out** to the **In** set.
- Include the arc in the **MST**

**In = [b,c,e] Out = [a,d,f,g] MST = {<b,e>, <b,c>}**



- Check all arcs between nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets
	- Chose that with minimum cost



$$
\begin{cases}\nIn = [b, c, e] \\
Out = [a, d, f, g] \\
MST = \{\langle b, e \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle\}\n\end{cases}
$$





- Move the out node of the arc from the **Out** to the **In** set.
- Include the arc in the **MST**

In = [b,c,e,f.]  
\nOut = [a,d,g]  
\nMST = {**b**,**e**}, **b**,**c**},  
\n
$$
\langle
$$
c,f>}





- Check all arcs between nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets
- **a** Chose that with minimum cost

**In = [b,c,e,f] Out = [a,d,g] MST = {<b,e>, <b,c>, <c,f>}**





- Move the out node of the arc from the **Out** to the **In** set.
- Include the arc in the **MST**

In = [b,c,d,e,f]  
Out = [a,g]  
MST = 
$$
\{\langle b,e \rangle, \langle b,c \rangle, \langle c,f \rangle, \langle d,f \rangle\}
$$





- Check all arcs between nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets
- Chose that with minimum cost

**In = [b,c,d,e,f] Out = [a,g] MST = {<b,e>, <b,c>, <c,f>, <d,f>}**





• Include the arc in the **MST**



In = 
$$
[a,b,c,d,e,f]
$$
  
\nOut =  $[g]$   
\nMST =  $\{\langle b,e \rangle, \langle b,c \rangle, \langle c,f \rangle, \langle d,f \rangle, \langle a,c \rangle\}$ 





- Check all arcs between nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets
- Chose that with minimum cost

In = 
$$
[a,b,c,d,e,f]
$$
  
\nOut =  $[g]$   
\nMST =  $\{\langle b,e \rangle, \langle b,c \rangle, \langle c,f \rangle, \langle d,f \rangle, \langle a,c \rangle\}$ 





- Move the out node of the arc from the **Out** to the **In** set.
- Include the arc in the **MST**

In = 
$$
[a, b, c, d, e, f, g]
$$

\nOut = []

\nMST =  $\{\langle b, e \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle c, f \rangle, \langle d, f \rangle, \langle a, c \rangle, \langle e, g \rangle\}$ 



- The **Out** set is now empty
- Return the **MST**.



**In = [] Out = [a,b,c,d,e,f,g]**  $MST = {\langle \langle b, e \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle}$ **<a,c>, <c,f>, <e,g>, <d,f>}**



- Several variants can be used in the implementation of the Prim's algorithm, using appropriate data structures that make it more efficient. Here we present a naïf implementation that nonetheless is sufficient for relatively large graphs.
	- 1. Select arbitrarily a node from the tree to initialise the **In** set, and put the others in the **Out** set (we select node 1);
	- 2. While there are nodes in the **Out** set,
		- i. Find which node from the **Out** set has an arc of least cost to one connecting it to one of the nodes of the **In** set;
		- ii. Transfer the node from the **Out** set to the **In** set and include the least cost arc in the current **MST**.

```
function T = prim(G);n = size(G,1);
   T = ones (n) *Inf;In = [1]; Out = 2:n;
   while length(Out) > 0 ...
      T = \ldots; In = \ldots; Out = \ldotsend
end
```


- The core of the algorithm is to find the arc with least cost connecting an arc between node of the **In** and **Out** sets (implemented as vectors).
- This can be performed with a standard search for a minimum value in a matrix, but in this case, restricted to indices of nodes in the **In** and **Out** sets.
- Additionally, the position **p** of the node in the **Out** vector is stored, to make it easy to remove it from the **Out** set.
- Finally, the arc is added to **T**, the current **MST**, and the **In** and **Out** sets updated.

```
minArc = Inf;
for i = 1: length(In)for \, j = 1: length(Out)if G(In(i),Out(j)) < minArc
         minArc = G(In(i),Out(j));
         u = In(i); v = Out(j);p = j;
      end;
   end;
end;
T(u,v) = G(u,v); T(v,u) = G(v,u)In = [v,In]; Out = [Out(1:p-1),Out(p+1:end)]
```


• The complete algorithm is shown below:

```
function T = print(G);n = size(G, 1);
   T = ones (n) *Inf;In = [1]; Out = 2:n;
   while length(Out) > 0
      minArc = Inf;
      for i = 1: length(In)for \, j = 1: length(Out)if G(In(i),Out(j)) < minArc
                minArc = G(In(i),Out(j));
                u = \text{In}(1); v = \text{Out}(j);p = j;
            end;
         end;
      end;
      T(u,v) = G(u,v); T(v,u) = G(v,u)In = [v,In]; Out = [Out(1:p-1),Out(p+1:end)]
   end
end
```


- It is easy to prove, by induction, that the algorithm is correct. If T is an MST with least cost with **n** nodes, adding to it the least cost arc will make it an MST with least cost with **n+1** nodes (adding any other arc would lead to a higher cost spanning tree).
- As to the worst cost complexity of the algorithm, with this implementation, we notice that the while loop is executed **n-1** times (n is the number of nodes of the graph, |V|).
- Finding the minimal cost arc requires two nested loops over ranges with **k** and **n-k** values, that is at most  $n^2/4$  executions (for  $k = n/2$ ) of the body of the loop

```
for i = 1: length(In)for i = 1: length(Out)…
   endfor;
endfor
```
- All operations in the loop are "basic", and so the complexity of this implementation of the Prim's algorithm is  $O(n^{\ast}n^2/4)$  i.e.  $O(|V|^3)$  (where  $|V| = n$ ).
- **Note:** Implementations with priority queues and other advanced data structures have better complexity, namely **O(|E|+Vlog|V|).**



- There are many algorithms for finding shortest paths between nodes of weighted graphs. They include algorithms to find one shortest path between two nodes , like the Dijskstra algorith, or to find all shortest paths between any two nodes of the graph, namely the **Floyd-Warshall's** (**FW**) algorithm.
- As the previous one, the **FW** algorithm explores dynamic programming in the following way:
- If a shortest path is considered between any two nodes, considering all paths through a **List** of **In** nodes with **n** nodes, these shortest paths can be updated by extending the list of **In** nodes with an extra node.
- Starting with an empty **List**, and including one node at a time, the final results is the set of shortest paths between any two nodes.



- The algorithm can thus be specified as follows:
	- 1. Initialise a matrix **S** of shortest paths with the adjacency matrix, that is only considering the direct distances between any two nodes.
		- Of course, nodes that are not connected by an arc have infinite distance between them at this stage
	- 1. Now, for all values k from 1 to n iterate
		- On iteration k, update S, by considering all indirect paths passing through node k.
	- 3. After the last iteration the set of all shortest paths between all nodes is stored in matrix S.
- Notice that this algorithm only computes the paths with shortest distance between any two nodes but does not return what these paths are.
	- In fact, a small addition to the algorithm allows the paths to be reconstructed.



• The implementation of this algorithm is shown below:

```
function S = floyd(M)
  S = M;
  n = size(S,1);
   for i = 1:n S(i,i) = 0; end
   for k = 1:nfor i = 1:nfor j = 1:nif S(i,k) + S(k,j) < S(i,j)
               S(i, j) = S(i, k) + S(k, j);end
         end
      end
   end
```
- The external for loop guarantees that all paths, between nodes **i** and **j**, consider, all the paths through nodes **k** ( **1, 2, 3, …, n),** previously computed. **end**
- The shortest paths are updated by considering the triangular inequality, with paths passing through the previous values of **k**.



- The correction of the algorithm can be proved by induction on the number of nodes considered in indirect paths (left as exercise).
- As to the complexity, it is easy to see that the algorithm requires 3 nested loops of size **n**, with a basic operation in the body,

```
for k = 1:nfor i = 1:nfor j = 1:nif S(i,k) + S(k,j) < S(i,j)
               S(i, j) = S(i, k) + S(k, j);end
         end
      end
   end
end
```
- The complexity of the algorithm is thus **O(|V|3).**
- Notice that algorithms to compute shortest paths between 2 nodes, like the Dijskstra algorithm have complexity **O(|V|2)**, but only consider a pair (not all) of nodes.



## Path Reconstruction – Floyd-Warshall's Algorithm

- The previous algorithm does not provide the shortest paths between any two nodes, but rather the shortest distances of any path between the nodes.
- Nevertheless, these paths may be easily reconstructed if the initial arc of any shortest path between two nodes is recorded in a matrix **Next** (for next node).
- For every pair **<i,j>** the matrix is initialised with **j**, i.e. it assumes that a direct path from **i** to **j** with no intermediate nodes is the best (so far).

```
for i = 1:nfor j = 1:nNext(i, j) = j;end
end
```
• In the inner loop of the FW algorithm, if a new shortest path is found, the new leading arc is updated accordingly

```
if S(i,k) + S(k,j) < S(i,j)
   S(i, j) = S(i, k) + S(k, j);Next(i, j) = Next(i, k);end
```


# Path Reconstruction – Floyd-Warshall's Algorithm

• The extended FW algorithm is shown below, returning the Next matrix.

```
function [S,Next] = floyd(M)
  S = M;
  n = size(S,1);
   for i = 1:nfor j = 1:nNext(i,j) = j;end
  end
   for k = 1:nfor i = 1:nfor j = 1:nif S(i,k) + S(k,j) < S(i,j)
               S(i, j) = S(i, k) + S(k, j);Next(i, j) = Next(i, k);end
         end
      end
   end
end
```


# Path Reconstruction – Floyd-Warshall's Algorithm

• Once the matrix **Next** is returned the path between any two nodes, **u** and **v**, can be obtained by following the trail indicated by this matrix, as shown below

```
function P = path(u, v, Next)if N(u,v) == inf
      P = [];
      return;
   end
  P = [u];
   while u != v
      u = Next(u,v)
      P = [P,u];
   end
end
```
- The first test checks whether there is a "real" path between nodes **u** and **v**.
- Otherwise the path is "reconstructed", starting iin node **u**.
- With this reconstruction technique, the complexity of the FW algorithm is not changed, and the paths are only computed when needed.